UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,988	02/02/2006	Masayuki Miura	060063	2589
23850 КВАТZ ОШТ	7590 09/25/2007 NTOS & HANSON, LLP		EXAM	INER
			LEE, SEUNG H	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASIIINOTO	711, DC 20003		2876	
			•	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	09/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/566,988	MIURA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Seung H. Lee	2876				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	<u> </u>	correspondence address				
Period fo	r Reply		·				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY SHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES IN IT IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES IN IT IS SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			•				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Fe</u>	ebruary 2006					
<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims	•	•				
·							
• ——	 4)						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>——</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
, · ·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	•				
Application Papers							
	·	•	·				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
10)			·				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
•	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
		priority under 25 H C C S 110/a	\ \ (d\ or \(f\)				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
·							
			•				
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I					
·	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>02/2006</u> .	6) Other:	atent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/566,988

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the Preliminary Amendment filed on 02 February 2006, which has been entered in the file.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show 2. "an auxiliary coil" as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the

examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 10 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The phrase "an auxiliary coil" in claims 10 and 17 are unclear. It is vague and indefinite to the Examiner what the applicant is intending to describe of that inductance to the auxiliary coil. First of all, what is the auxiliary coil? And how is the inductance of the auxiliary coil related the coil?

Clarification and correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States

Art Unit: 2876

only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 7-9 and 11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated 6. by Wakabayashi (US 2003/0178483).

Wakabayashi teaches a noncontact medium such as an IC card (6) comprising a coil, a capacitor, and a control circuit to transmit/receive data from/to a reader/writer (4), and a switch section (SW) serving as a conductor cut off, wherein the coil making a resonance frequency of the resonant circuit higher than the carrier frequency as shown in figure 18(A) when the single Noncontact IC card is used and the coil generates e resonant frequency that is matching to electromagnetic waves when multiple noncontact medium is overlaid one another (see figs. 2-18; paragraphs 0003-0012, 0087-0188; Claims 2-14).

Additional Remarks

The lack of an art rejection with this Office action is not an indication of allowable subject matter (i.e., even though the claims 10 and 17 are rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112 as discussed above). The disclosure/claimed language is such that it is impractical to conduct a reasonable search of the prior art by the Examiner.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to 8. applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2876

Patrice et al. (US 6,386,459) discloses a contactless IC card having inhibiting means using a rupture zone.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Seung H. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-2401. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30 AM- 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Seung.lee@uspto.gov September 17, 2007 SEUNG HOLEE PRIMARY EXAMINER